

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/651,381  <b>Examiner</b> JENNIFER N. TO	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MEHTA, KALPESH D.  <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"><b>Art Unit</b> 2195</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	<b>Art Unit</b> 2195	
<b>Art Unit</b> 2195				

**All Participants:**

(1) JENNIFER N. TO.

(2) ROBERT D. MCDOWELL.

**Date of Interview:** 21 October 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*N/A*

Claims discussed:  
*1, 11, 17*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Prior arts of record.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Applicant agreed to amend the claims in accordance to examiner amendment by moving the allowable subject matter into the independent claim and correct the 112 issue in claim 1.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)